

## **United States Department of the Interior**

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Utah State Office P.O. Box 45155 Salt Lake City, UT 84145-0155 http://www.blm.gov/ut/st/en.html



In Reply To: 3120 (UT-922)

August 19, 2011

## **ERRATA SHEET**

This errata sheet will correct minor errors found in the Interdisciplinary Team Checklist, Appendix C of the August 2011 Competitive Oil and Gas Lease Sale Environmental Assessment (EA) DOI-BLM-UT-W020-2011-0009-EA.

The following resource determinations were changed from not impacted to a potential impact: air quality, fish habitat, migratory birds, Threatened, Endangered, Candidate or Special Status Animal Species, and wildlife excluding USFW designated species. Aside from the following changes to the checklist, the EA reflects their analysis and the content or conclusions do not change throughout chapters 1-4, 6 or within Appendix A, B, or D.

| Determi-<br>nation | Resource         | Rationale for Determination  | Signature        | Date      |
|--------------------|------------------|--|------------------|-----------|
| RESOUR             | CES AND ISSUES ( | CONSIDERED (INCLUDES SUPPLEMENTAL AUTHORI  | TIES APPENDIX 1  | H-1790-1) |
| ΡĮ                 | Air Quality      | Juab and Millard Counties are in attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for all pollutants. Currently air quality in the area of the proposed leasing meets State Department of Environmental Quality and the Division of Air Quality Standards. Leasing, per se, these parcels would have no impact on air quality. However, there is some expectation that drilling and development could occur. Any ground disturbing activity would have to first be authorized as a lease operation but only through additional NEPA analysis. Given the low level of activity anticipated, only minimal emissions would be expected and effects to air quality would be expected to be negligible. | /s/ Paul Caso    | 3/23/11   |
| PI                 | Fish Habitat     | The DMAD Reservoir supports fish and fish habitat. Leasing would not have a direct effect however, if there where oil and gas activities that resulted in the sediment being delivered to the water body it could potentially degrade water quality and reduce near shore habitat. This will be further analyzed at the project specific level.  | /s/ James Priest | 3-24-11   |
| PΙ                 | Migratory Birds  | A variety of migratory birds can be anticipated to use all<br>the proposed parcels. Leasing would not have a direct<br>effect however; oil and gas activities after leasing could<br>potentially result in direct mortalities and permanent  | /s/ James Priest | 3-24-11   |

| Determi-<br>nation | Resource  | Rationale for Determination   | Signature        | Date    |
|--------------------|---|---|------------------|---------|
|                    |   | habitat loss. This will be further analyzed at the project specific level.  |                  |         |
| ΡΙ                 | Threatened,<br>Endangered, Candidate<br>or Special Status<br>Animal Species | The proposed parcels are located within the historic range and suitable habitat for the threatened Utah prairie and within potential range and suitable habitat of the experimental population of the California Condor. Leasing would not have a direct effect however, if there where oil and gas activities they could potential result in the permanent loss of suitable habitat and alter behavior patterns. This will be further analyzed at the project specific level and section 7 consultation with the USFWS may required then.  | /s/ James Priest | 3-24-11 |
| ΡΙ                 | Wildlife Excluding<br>USFW Designated<br>Species                            | Special status species such as, but limited to, various raptors, pigmy rabbits, bats and kit foxes may occur within or near the proposed parcels. Leasing would not have a direct effect however, if there where oil and gas activities authorized on the lease these could directly and indirectly remove or cause individuals to abandoned nests, burrows, and dens.  Mule deer are known to frequent locations within and near the proposed parcels. UDWR has identified that some of the parcels (UT0811-001, 002, 004, 006, 010 and 011) fall within crucial winter/spring transitional ranges. Leasing would not have a direct effect however, if there where oil and gas activities allowed within the lease parcel then it may alter deer behavior and contribute to seasonal stresses. | /s/ James Priest | 3-24-11 |

An additional change is required for chapter 5. An item number 11, is therefore added at EA section 5.4 as follows:

Appendix C – the following resource determinations were changed from not impacted (NI) to a potential impact (PI): air quality, fish habitat, migratory birds, Threatened, Endangered, Candidate or Special Status Animal Species, and wildlife excluding USFW designated species. The corresponding rationale or discussion for these determinations did not change.

In Roja L Barker

Deputy State Director

Division of Lands and Minerals